

Country/entity	Georgia Russia Abkhazia
Region	Europe and Eurasia
Agreement name	Protocol of the Gali Meeting between the Georgian and Abkhaz Sides
Date	14 Aug 2001
Agreement status	Multiparty signed/agreed
Interim arrangement	Yes

Agreement/conflict level Intrastate/intrastate conflict

Georgian Separatist Conflicts (1989 -)

The Abkhazia-Georgia Conflict (1992 -)

Much like other conflicts that sprung up in the wake of the collapse of the Soviet Union, the Abkhazia conflict emerged as an ethnic conflict following heightened nationalist awareness among Abkhaz and other minorities residing in the region. In 1978, protests against the Georgianization of Abkhazia emerged from Abkhaz intellectuals. Towards the end of the 1980s, both Georgian and Abkhaz nationalists demanded independence from the Soviet Union. Tensions began following clashes at Sukhumi University between pro-Unity protestors and Abkhaz nationalists in April-July 1989. However, the conflict remained a legal conflict up until August 1992 following the 1991 elections, wherein Abkhazians felt they were under-represented. War broke out between Abkhaz separatists, supported by Russian forces and hired fighters from the North Caucasus. Within Abkhazia, Abkhaz separatists were generally supported by ethnically Armenian and Russian residents. Within Georgia the conflict was exacerbated following conflict in South Ossetia from 1989 onwards in addition to political strife between the supporters of the ousted president, Zviad Gamsakurdia, and the post-coup government led by Eduard Shevardnadze.

Significant human rights abuses occurred, particularly after the capture of Sukhumi in September 1993, after which Georgian residents, which consisted of 45 per cent of the population in 1989, were faced with mass expulsion and ethnic cleansing. Russian Peacekeepers acting under the umbrella of the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), were deployed on the Abkhazia-Georgia line following a ceasefire in 1994. Atrocities towards ethnic Georgian's continued with over 1,500 deaths post-conflict. In 1998 fighting re-erupted following a flare up of fighting in South Ossetia. Again it was resolved with a ceasefire agreement. However, as of 2016 the conflict remains unresolved.

The Russo-Georgian War (South Ossetia) (1989 -)

Following heightened nationalist awareness among Ossetians and policies undertaken at the expense of ethnic minorities by the Georgian government led by President Gamsakurdia, calls for Ossetian Independence increased. After clashes broke out in Tskhinvali during a pro-Georgia protest, the conflict began to militarize. When President Gamsakurdia maintained his position after elections in 1990, which were boycotted by South Ossetia, Ossetians organised elections for their own parliament. The central Georgian government reacted by abolishing the autonomous status previously held by South Ossetia. The formation of ethnic militias and increased attacks on civilians escalated on both sides and in December 1990 a state of emergency and an economic blockade were declared. Fighting was haphazard as fighters on both sides were poorly equipped and disciplined. The Georgian National Guard was primarily formed of volunteers due to a lack of resources and as a result fighters often acted out of self-interest. Assaults on Tskhinvali by Georgian forces were repulsed on several occasions, and Georgian forces were also noted to expel Ossetian residents and destroy villages out of revenge and as a means to re-claim territory.

The Sochi agreement (1992) established a ceasefire and security corridor, as well as divided the territory between Georgian and Ossetian administration. Relations deteriorated drastically in April 2008, and following shelling of Georgian villages on August 1, the Georgian military responded in force retaking most of Tskhinvali by August 7. Russian forces intervened on behalf of Ossetian separatists and after retaking Tskhinvali, opened another front in the Kodori Gorge. A ceasefire was brokered on August

Stage	Implementation/renegotiation
Conflict nature	Government/territory
Peace process	Abkhazia peace process
Parties	On behalf of the Georgian Side Mr. Malkhaz Kakabadze;  On behalf of the Abkhaz Side General-Major Raul Khajinba
Third parties	On behalf of the UN Mr. Dieter Boden  ; On behalf of the CIS Peacekeeping Forces General -Major Nikolai Sidorichev; With participation of the Chief Military Observer of the UNOMIG General A.A.Bajwa
Description	Document outlines that the peacekeeping missions shall verify the composition of military formations in the security zone; that CIS peacekeepers will more actively pursue its mandate against manifestations of violence; that Abkhaz and Georgian sides will mobilize against illegal paramilitary groups and will exchange information to that end. Finally, law enforcement bodies shall identify the whereabouts of detained persons and try and protect them.

Agreement document [GE_010814_PROTOCOL OF THE GALI MEETING between the Georgian and Abkhaz Side.pdf \(opens in new tab\)](#) | [Download PDF](#)

Groups

Children/youth	No specific mention.
Disabled persons	No specific mention.
Elderly/age	No specific mention.
Migrant workers	No specific mention.
Racial/ethnic/national group	No specific mention.
Religious groups	No specific mention.
Indigenous people	No specific mention.
Other groups	No specific mention.

Refugees/displaced persons No specific mention.

Social class No specific mention.

Gender

Women, girls and gender No specific mention.

Men and boys No specific mention.

LGBTI No specific mention.

Family No specific mention.

State definition

Nature of state (general) No specific mention.

State configuration No specific mention.

Self determination No specific mention.

Referendum No specific mention.

State symbols No specific mention.

Independence/secession No specific mention.

Accession/unification No specific mention.

Border delimitation No specific mention.

Cross-border provision No specific mention.

Governance

Political institutions (new or reformed) No specific mention.

Elections No specific mention.

Electoral commission No specific mention.

Political parties reform No specific mention.

Civil society No specific mention.

Traditional/religious leaders No specific mention.

Public administration No specific mention.

Constitution No specific mention.

Power sharing

Political power sharing No specific mention.

Territorial power sharing No specific mention.

Economic power sharing No specific mention.

Military power sharing No specific mention.

Human rights and equality

Human rights/RoL general No specific mention.

Bill of rights/similar No specific mention.

Treaty incorporation No specific mention.

Civil and political rights No specific mention.

Socio-economic rights No specific mention.

Rights related issues

Citizenship No specific mention.

Democracy No specific mention.

Detention procedures No specific mention.

Media and communication No specific mention.

Mobility/access No specific mention.

Protection measures No specific mention.

Other No specific mention.

Rights institutions

NHRI No specific mention.

Regional or international human rights institutions No specific mention.

Justice sector reform

Criminal justice and emergency law No specific mention.

State of emergency provisions No specific mention.

Judiciary and courts No specific mention.

Prisons and detention No specific mention.

Traditional Laws No specific mention.

Socio-economic reconstruction

Development or socio-economic reconstruction No specific mention.

National economic plan No specific mention.

Natural resources No specific mention.

International funds No specific mention.

Business No specific mention.

Taxation No specific mention.

Banks No specific mention.

Land, property and environment

Land reform/rights No specific mention.

Pastoralist/nomadism rights No specific mention.

Cultural heritage No specific mention.

Environment No specific mention.

Water or riparian rights or access No specific mention.

Security sector

Security Guarantees

No specific mention.

Ceasefire

No specific mention.

Police

Page 1, At the end of the meeting, the Parties agreed on the following:

... 3. The Parties shall activate their fight with illegal paramilitary groups and individuals acting in the security zone. To this end, the law enforcement bodies of the Parties shall exchange information available to them.

Page 1, At the end of the meeting, the Parties agreed on the following:

... 4. The law enforcement bodies of the Parties shall take steps aimed at identification of whereabouts of detained persons and protect them with an aimed of further transfer them to respective Side...

Page 1, At the end of the meeting, the Parties agreed on the following:

... 4. ... Within ten days, representatives of law-enforcement bodies of the Parties shall hold a meeting with a view of exchange of information on the measures taken.

Armed forces

Page 1, At the end of the meeting, the Parties agreed on the following:

1. Pursuant to the Protocol of 11 June 2000, the CIS Peacekeeping Forces and the UNOMIG shall carry out, within a week, verification of the numerical composition of military formations of the Parties located in the security zone.

DDR

No specific mention.

Intelligence services

No specific mention.

Parastatal/rebel and opposition group forces

Page 1, At the end of the meeting, the Parties agreed on the following:

1. Pursuant to the Protocol of 11 June 2000, the CIS Peacekeeping Forces and the UNOMIG shall carry out, within a week, verification of the numerical composition of military formations of the Parties located in the security zone.

Page 1, At the end of the meeting, the Parties agreed on the following:

... 3. The Parties shall activate their fight with illegal paramilitary groups and individuals acting in the security zone. To this end, the law enforcement bodies of the Parties shall exchange information available to them.

Withdrawal of foreign forces

No specific mention.

Corruption

No specific mention.

Crime/organised crime

No specific mention.

Drugs	No specific mention.
Terrorism	Page 1, At the end of the meeting, the Parties agreed on the following: ... 2. Due to the existing situation, the Parties call upon the CIS Peacekeeping Forces to more actively implement its own Mandate in terms of taking active measures against any manifestation of violence, terror and subversion.

Transitional justice

Transitional justice general	No specific mention.
Amnesty/pardon	No specific mention.
Courts	No specific mention.
Mechanism	No specific mention.
Prisoner release	Page 1, At the end of the meeting, the Parties agreed on the following: ... 4. The law enforcement bodies of the Parties shall take steps aimed at identification of whereabouts of detained persons and protect them with an aimed of further transfer them to respective Side...
Vetting	No specific mention.
Victims	No specific mention.
Missing persons	No specific mention.
Reparations	No specific mention.
Reconciliation	No specific mention.

Implementation

UN signatory	On behalf of the UN Mr. Dieter Boden
Other international signatory	On behalf of the CIS Peacekeeping Forces General -Major Nikolai Sidorichev
Referendum for agreement	No specific mention.

International mission/force/similar

Page 1, At the end of the meeting, the Parties agreed on the following:
1. Pursuant to the Protocol of 11 June 2000, the CIS Peacekeeping Forces and the UNOMIG shall carry out, within a week, verification of the numerical composition of military formations of the Parties located in the security zone.

Page 1, At the end of the meeting, the Parties agreed on the following:
... 2. Due to the existing situation, the Parties call upon the CIS Peacekeeping Forces to more actively implement its own Mandate in terms of taking active measures against any manifestation of violence, terror and subversion.

On behalf of the CIS Peacekeeping Forces General -Major Nikolai Sidorichev

Enforcement mechanism

No specific mention.

Related cases

No specific mention.

Source

(Archive of the Staff of the State Minister of Georgia for Conflict Resolution Issues/in Russian)
Source: http://www.rrc.ge/law/protgali_2001_08_14_e.htm
