Peace Agreement Access Tool PA-X https://www.peaceagreements.org/

Country/entity Syria

Region Middle East and North Africa

Agreement name Hayat Tahrir al-Sham (HTS) Positive Response to initiative

Date 19 Jul 2017

Agreement status Unilateral document

Interim Yes

arrangement

Agreement/conflict Intrastate/local conflict

level

Syrian Conflicts (1948 -) (1976 - 2005) (2011 -)

The agreements relate to three different conflict contexts. Israel-Syria and Syria-Lebanon. The Syrian-Israeli conflict was a key factor for the Syrian intervention during the Lebanese Civil War, with Syrian-backed Palestinian Liberation Army units intervened in 1976 against the Palestinian/Leftist militias. Following a massacre at Tel al-Zaatar that year, Syria was forced to accept a ceasefire at a meeting of the Arab League. However, the Arab League also mandated an Arab Deterrence Force, consisting of mostly Syrian soldiers with token contributions from other Arab League states, thus further legitimizing the Syrian presence in Lebanon. During a second bout of fighting that began in 1989, caused by the formation of rival Lebanese regimes in East and West Beirut, the Syrian-backed regime won and in 1991 the 'Treaty of Brotherhood, Cooperation and Coordination' was signed to legalize the Syrian occupation as a means to ensure the security of Syria. Syrian forces were forced to withdraw in 2005 following possible involvement in the assassination of Lebanon's President Hariri.

Syria-internal. In 2011, domestic upheaval following a wave of protests across the Arab World soon led to violent repression of protestors by Syrian government troops. The violence progressed steadily and by July 2011 the Free Syrian Army (FSA) was formed consisting of defected military units and new recruits. The FSA and rebel umbrella group known as the Syrian National Council represented the first attempt at coordinating rebel factions in late 2011. However, a steady influx of foreign fighters and increased sectarianism radicalised opposition groups, which relied on foreign funding. The nature of the conflict changed drastically in late 2013 as infighting among the opposition groups increased. One of the more significant developments was the split between the al-Qaeda outfits the Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL, ISIS), and Jabhat al-Nusra in early 2014 in addition to the intensified targeting of other rebel factions by ISIS fighters in particular, including the Kurdish Peshmerga, the FSA and other jihadist outfits. Since the summer of 2014, the conflict was further internationalized when ISIS announced the formation of an Islamic state eroding the state line between Iraq and Syria and also in mid-2015 when Russian forces intervened on behalf of the Bashar al-Assad government in Damascus.

```
Close
```

```
Syrian Conflicts (1948 - ) (1976 - 2005) (2011 - )
```

Stage Ceasefire/related

Conflict nature Inter-group

Peace process Syrian Local Agreements

Parties Harikat Tahrir al-Sham; Harikat Ahrar al-Sham.

Third parties

Description Statement issued as part of a sequence of statements responding to an initiative to end

the ongoing conflict between Harikat Tahrir al-Sham and Harikat Ahrar al-Sham in Idlib.

Statement commits to ceasefire in response to focusing energy elsewhere.

Agreement SY_170719_HTS Positive Response to pre-negotiation agreement_EN.pdf (opens in new

document tab) | Download PDF

Agreement SY_170719_HTS Positive Response to pre-negotiation agreement_AR.pdf (opens in new

document (original tab)

language)

Local agreement properties

Process type Informal but persistent process

Rationale -> Local issues only; no external support mechanism; culture of signing There is no

> formally established mechanism to support the negotiation between Salafi armed groups. Moreover, this agreement cannot be linked to the national peace process. Indeed, both groups have a Salafist ideology; they oppose the rule of Bashar al-Assad in Syria and reject the idea of a national negotiation to solve the conflict. Yet, it seems that both signing parties choose to solve daily pragmatic issues related to their armed struggle through agreements. Although one cannot speak of a "culture" of singing peace agreements, the parties are involved (in a non-proactive and non-conscious way) in a

persistent practice of signing local agreements.

Is there a No documented link to a national peace process?

Link to national rationale

No link to the national peace process in Syria is mentioned in the agreement, neither it process: articulated can be inferred from further research. First, the agreement does not involve local governance actors; and the signing parties themselves are not official state representative. Second, all parties to the agreement reject the national peace process, as it would maintain Bashar al-Assad and the Syrian government in power. Yet, the agreement is related to multiple local level processes in Idlib governorate in July 2017. The agreement was signed for the purpose of "preserv[ing] remaining energies and land

to fight our enemies."

Name of Locale NA

Nature of Locale Region

GPS Lat/Long (DD) 35.731782, 36.708680

Participant type Local armed group

Mediator, facilitator Mediator or similar referred to **or similar**

Mediator The initiative of the mediation was launched by three clerics: Sheikh Abu Mohammed al-

(references) Sadiq, Sheikh Abdul Razzaq al-Mahdi, and Sheikh Abu Hamza al-Masri.

Type of mediator/ Domestic religious organisation/leader or other elder

facilitator/similar

Local issues

Ritual/prayer and Page 1, In the name of Allah, the Beneficial, the Merciful

process (including use of scripture) Page 1, "So fear Allah, and keep straight the relations between yourselves: Obey Allah

and His Messenger, if ye do believe"

Grievance List The agreement addresses the grievances of two Salafi-jihadi groups that lost a number

of men during clashes for the control of villages in Idlib governorate.

Cattle rustling/

banditry

No specific mention.

Social cover No specific mention.